

Festival Project Grant Ranking Tool				
Review Criterion as appropriate to size and scope of organization	Unsatisfactory 1	Needs Development 2	Accomplishing 3	Excelling 4
<b>Artistic Quality demonstrates:</b> 1. Alignment with mission statement 2. Appropriate measurable artistic goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No alignment with mission</li> <li>Artistic goals are unclear and unfeasible</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited alignment with mission</li> <li>Artistic goals are clear but need development</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sufficient alignment with mission</li> <li>Artist goals are developed and viable</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comprehensive alignment with mission</li> <li>Artistic goals are focused and feasible</li> </ul>
<b>Community Needs demonstrates:</b> 1. Alignment with mission statement 2. Population served (including community/audience/participants) aligns with participation goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No alignment with mission</li> <li>No clear understanding of population served</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited alignment with mission</li> <li>Limited understanding of population served</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sufficient alignment with mission</li> <li>Clear understanding of population served</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comprehensive alignment with mission</li> <li>Exemplary understanding of population served</li> </ul>
<b>Administrative and Managerial Ability demonstrates:</b> 1. Administrative structure in place 2. Structure in place to manage and carry out public funds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No clear administrative structure</li> <li>No clear structure to manage and carry out public funds</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Underdeveloped administrative processes with minimal oversight</li> <li>Limited structure to manage and carry out public funds</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effective structure and process in place with minimal oversight</li> <li>Clear structure to manage and carry out public funds</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concrete structure and processes with appropriate oversight</li> <li>Exemplary structure to manage and carry out public funds</li> </ul>
<b>Appropriateness of Budget demonstrates:</b> 1. Capacity to support proposed programming 2. Fiscal responsibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insufficient funds and inappropriate distribution of funds</li> <li>Underdeveloped fiscal responsibility: unexplained discrepancies in budget; unstable funding stream; no indication of contingency plan</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sufficient funds and adequate distribution of funds</li> <li>Limited fiscal responsibility: unclear variances; intent to diversify funding stream; developing plan to address revenue shortfalls or unanticipated expenses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate funds and efficient distribution of funds</li> <li>Sufficient fiscal responsibility: clear, consistent; developing diverse funding streams; prepared to address revenue shortfalls or unanticipated expenses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plentiful funds and exemplary distribution of funds</li> <li>Comprehensive fiscal responsibility: intentional, cohesive budget; diversified funding streams; strategically building/maintaining a surplus</li> </ul>